


REMARKS

1. Applicants acknowledge the withdrawal of the finality of the previous Office action pursuant to 37 CFR § 1.114. Applicants note and appreciate entry of the submission filed on 27 September 2007.
2. Applicants acknowledge that Examiner maintains double patenting rejections of claims 1-6 over Application No. 11/527,293 and Applicants continue to hold the rejection in abeyance.
3. Examiner states that the previous Office action dated 26 June 2007 indicated that the amendments to the drawings submitted on 27 March 2007 were entered. Applicants note the indication on the 26 June 2007 Office action as the drawings having been accepted by the Examiner and Applicants appreciate this point of clarification by Examiner.
4. Examiner rejected claims 1-4 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over PCT International Publication No. WO88/01247 to Aguero in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,602,976 to Fukuda et al.
 - a. Applicants have amended claim 1 and claim 4 to require at least one resilient wing member coupled to the circumferential wall of the puck member and extending into a cavity that is at least partially surrounded by the circumferential wall. None of the cited prior art discloses the amended limitation. Therefore, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and withdrawal of the stated rejections of claims 1 and 4.
 - b. Applicants have amended claim 2 to coincide with the amendments to claim 1. Claims 2 and 3 depend from allowable claim 1 and, therefore, are allowable for at least the same reasons. Therefore, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and withdrawal of the stated rejection of claim 2 and 3.
5. Examiner rejected claims 5-6 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over PCT International Publication No. WO88/01247 to Aguero in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,602,976 to Fukuda et al. and U.S. Patent No. 6,350,070 to Tasma.
 - a. Applicants have amended claim 5 to include a limitation requiring a puck assembly, said puck assembly including a puck member having an interrupted outer circumferential surface of a circumferential wall at least partially surrounding a cavity, and at least one resilient wing member coupled to the circumferential wall, where the wing member extends into the cavity. None of the cited art discloses the amended limitation. Therefore, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and withdrawal of the stated rejection of claim 5.

- b. Applicants have amended claim 6 to coincide with the amendments to claim 5. Claim 6 depends from allowable claim 5. Thus, claim 6 is allowable for at least the same reasons as claim 5. Furthermore, claim 6 has been amended to further clarify the door, disclosure of which is not found in any of the references of record. Therefore, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and withdrawal of the stated rejection.
6. Applicants have added new claims 16-25, which are dependent, directly or indirectly, from now allowable claim 1 or claim 5. Therefore, the claims are allowable for at least the same reasons as claims 1 and 5.
7. This amendment does not add new matter.

Respectfully Submitted,

By 
John M. Manion, Reg. No. 38,957

RYAN KROMHOLZ & MANION, S.C.
Post Office Box 26618
Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53226
(262) 783 - 1300

JMM/GKG/jaw
HellermannTyton/ALA100-FOR/080401 Amt. C

Customer No.: 26308